

## BMMS: On Bolzano's early rejection of infinitesimals

Bernard Bolzano introduced the notion of variable quantities  $\omega$  in his work on the binomial theorem as an alternative to the “selbst widersprechenden Begriffe unendlich kleiner Grössen” (1816: XI). The latter, he wrote, postulated quantities that were *de facto* smaller instead of quantities that *could be* smaller than another, and it compared those quantities with any *alleged* or *conceivable* and not merely with any *given* ones (cf. 1816: V). Because of such notion and the procedures associated with it, the *Rein analytischer Beweis* (1817) of Bolzano has been traditionally considered as an “epoch-making paper on the foundations of real analysis” (Ewald, 1999: 225). That way, his definition of a continuous function as that for which “der Unterschied  $f(x + \omega) - fx$  kleiner als jede gegebene Grösse gemacht werden könne, wenn man  $\omega$  so klein, als man nur immer will, annehmen kann” (1817: 11-12), is usually interpreted as equivalent to the later definitions of Cauchy and Weierstrass.

In this paper we will examine Bolzano's mathematical diaries written until 1818 in order to provide a better understanding of his careful definition of quantities  $\omega$ , which he used in his published mathematical works from 1816-1817. As will be shown, despite the fact that Bolzano's mathematics hinted at some ground-breaking features and concerns, there is an intrinsic difference between his notion and the Weierstrassian  $\varepsilon$ . In particular, there seems to be enough evidence to sustain that his alternative concept was rooted in a certain distinction made between actual and potential infinite. That way, in a note dated around December 1814, he stressed that quantities  $\omega$  should be understood as the assertion “dass man zu jedem schon angenommenen [Grösse] ein noch kleineres (grösseres) annehmen kann” (BGA 2B7/1: 79). As Bolzano's *Reine Zahlenlehre* shows, his later developments involved a “major change” (Russ & Trlifajová, 2016: 44) on the notion of infinity, as he went on to accept what he described in a note from 1818 as the “noch zweifelhaft” concept of infinitely small quantities (BGA 2B9/2: 126).

## References

- Bolzano, Bernard (1816). *Der binomische Lehrsatz, und als Folgerung aus ihm der polynomische, und die Reihen, die zur Berechnung der Logarithmen und Exponentialgrößen dienen, genauer als bisher erwiesen*, C.W. Enders, Prague.
- (1817). *Rein analytischer Beweis des Lehrsatzes, dass zwischen je zwey Werthen, die ein entgegengesetztes Resultat gewähren, wenigstens eine reelle Wurzel der Gleichung liege*, Gottliebe Haase, Prague.
- Bolzano, Bernard (1977ff.). “Miscellanea Mathematica”. In Anna van der Lugt and Bob van Rootselaar (Eds.), *Bernard Bolzano Gesamtausgabe (BGA)*, Reihe II, Band 2B2/1 to B9/2, Frommann-Holzboog, Stuttgart-Bad Cannstatt.
- Ewald, William (Ed.) (1999). *From Kant to Hilbert*, Vol. I. Oxford, Oxford.
- Russ, Steve & Trlifajová, (2016). “Bolzano's measurable numbers: are they real?”. In Maria Zack, Elaine Landry (Eds.), *Research in History and Philosophy of Mathematics, The CSHPM 2015 Annual Meeting in Washington D.C.*, Birkhäuser, pp. 39-56.